TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS

PCT

RAPPORT PRÉLIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITÉ (chapitre I du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets)

(règle 44bis du PCT)

Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire 70602WO3ASLC	POUR SUITE À DONNER	Voir le point 4 ci-dessous			
Demande internationale no. PCT/FR2005/000323	Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année) 11 February 2005 (11.02.2005)	Date de priorité (jour/mois/année) 13 February 2004 (13.02.2004)			
Classification internationale des brevets (8 ^e edition, sauf indication d'une #dition ant#rieure) Voir les informations pertinentes dans le formulaire PCT/ISA/237					
Déposant INSTITUT NATIONAL POLYTECHNIQUE DE GRENOBLE					

1	Le présent rapport préliminaire l'administration chargée de la r	international sur la brevetabil echerche internationale selon	ité (chapitre I) est établi par le Bureau international au nom de la règle 44 <i>bis</i> .1.a).			
2.	Ce RAPPORT comprend un to	tal de 6 feuilles, y compris la	présente feuille de couverture.			
	Dans les feuilles jointes, toute entendue, à la place, comme ur	référence à l'opinion écrite de ne référence au rapport prélimi	l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale doit être inaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I).			
3.	Le présent rapport contient des	indications relatives aux poin	ts suivants :			
	Cadre n° I	Base de l'opinion				
	Cadre n° II	Priorité				
	Cadre n° III	Absence de formulation on d'application industrielle	l'opinion quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité			
	Cadre n° IV	Absence d'unité de l'inve	ention			
	Cadre n° V		n l'article 35.2) quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la ndustrielle, citations et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration			
	Cadre n° VI	Certains documents cités				
	Cadre n° VII	Certaines irrégularités relevées dans la demande internationale				
	Cadre n° VIII	Certaines observations re	latives à la demande internationale			
4.	Le Bureau international comm mais pas avant l'expiration du requête expresse à cet égard en	délai de 30 mois à compter de	x offices désignés conformément aux règles 44bis.3.c) et 93bis.1 la date de priorité (règle 44bis.2), sauf si le déposant a présenté une			
			Date d'établissement du présent rapport 01 November 2006 (01.11.2006)			
	Bureau internationa 34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, S	olombettes	Fonctionnaire autorisé Beate Giffo-Schmitt			
no de télécopieur +41 22 338 82 70			e-mail: pt03@wipo.int			

Formulaire PCT/IB/373 (janvier 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORI	TY	"ANSI				
To:			PCT PCT			
,			RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
-			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 70602WO3ASLC		FOR FURTHER ACTION				
	Y-11 Gli d-1 (dustant the same	See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. PCT/FR2005/000323	11.02.2005	priority date (day/month/year) 13.02.2004				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both G01R31/3185	national classification and	d IPC				
Applicant INSTITUT NATIONAL POL	YTECHNIQUE	DE GRENOBL	E			
This opinion contains indications relat	ing to the fall awing items					
	ing to the following items	•				
Box No. I Basis of the	opinion					
Box No. II Priority	•					
Box No. III Non-establis	hment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inventi	ive step and industrial applicability			
	y of invention					
		is.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain docu	iments cited					
Box No. VII Certain defe	cts in the international app	olication	lication			
Box No. VIII Certain obse	rvations on the internation	nal application				
2. FURTHER ACTION						
International Preliminary Examining A	Authority ("IPEA") excep chosen IPEA has notified	t that this does not app the International Bur	Il be considered to be a written opinion of the ply where the applicant chooses an Authority other eau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of			
If this opinion is, as provided above, written reply together, where approp PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration	riate, with amendments,	before the expiration	A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.			
For further options, see Form PCT/IS/	A/220.		•			
3. For further details, see notes to Form I	PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	-	Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.				

Facsimile No.

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000323

Box	c No. I	Basis o	of this opinion		<u></u>			•	
1.			inguage, this opinionse indicated under t	on has been establish his item.	ed on the basis of	the internation	nal application i	n the language in	which it was
		This opinion h	as been established	on the basis of a tran	slation from the o				earch (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and	23.1(b)).	_	-				
2.				r amino acid seque thed on the basis of:	nce disclosed in	the internation	nal application	and necessary to	the claimed
	a.	type of materia	al .						
		a sequen	ce listing				,		•
		table(s) r	elated to the seque	nce listing					
	b.	format of mate	rial						
		in writter	n format						
		in comp	iter readable form						•
	c.	time of filing/f	urnishing						
		containe	d in the internation	al application as filed					
		filed tog	ther with the interi	national application in	n computer readal	ole form.		•	
		furnished	subsequently to the	nis Authority for the p	ourposes of search				
3.		In addition, in	the case that more	e than one version of	r copy of a seque	nce listing and	l/or table(s) rel	ating thereto has	been filed or
				s that the information plication as filed, as			copies is identi	cal to that in the	application as
4.	Âddi	itional comment	s:						
				•					
				•		•			
									•
	,								
				,					
				•					
			,						

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000323

Box			tle 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; operfing such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	5-14, 17-20	YES
	•	Claims	1-4, 15-16	. NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	5-14, 17-20	YES
		Claims	1-4, 15-16	. NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES
		Claims		NO
!				

- 2. Citations and explanations:
 - 1. Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: AKTOUF C ET AL: "Inserting scan at the behavioral level" IEEE DESIGN &; TEST OF COMPUTERS IEEE USA, Vol. 17, No. 3, July 2000, pages 34-42, XP002321051 ISSN: 0740-7475
 - 2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application fails to comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) since the subject matter of claim 1 does not meet the criterion for novelty defined in PCT Article 33(2).

Document D1 describes (the references in parentheses apply to this document):

A method for analysing a batch of original description files in an integrated electronic digital system in a descriptive language at the register transfer level, the said language being HDL, with a view to the automatic insertion into the description files of instructions in HDL language to obtain a new batch of description files in HDL language in the integrated

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

electronic digital system incorporating test functionalities such that during the automatic synthesis of the integrated electronic digital system from the new batch of files, the integrated electronic digital system obtained incorporates at least part of the logical electronic circuits necessary for testing the integrated electronic digital system (page 35, paragraph "behavioural-level scan insertion"), the method characterised in that it comprises the following stages:

automatic localisation in the original HDL description files of HDL instruction sequences, which during the synthesis of the system are initially memory elements (page 35, column 2, lines 8-15),

insertion in one part at least of the HDL description files in a sequential automatic manner and without relational or functional analysis of the identified memory elements, HDL instructions known as SCAN, ensuring that during the synthesis of the system at least one chain is obtained, known as "SCAN" linking the memory elements (page 37, paragraph "behavioural-level scan insertion" - page 38, figure 1).

3. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 15, 16

The subject matter of independent claims 15 and 16 corresponds in terms of features of the device to that of claim 1. The objections raised in connection with the latter therefore also apply to claims 15 and 16, the subject matter of which does not meet the criterion for novelty defined in PCT Article 33 2) and 3).

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000323

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

4. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-4

These claims do not contain features which combined with the features of any claim to which they refer satisfy the requirements of the PCT with regard to novelty and inventive step (PCT Article 33 2) and 3)).

Claim 2:

The recording of the new batch of files is only one possibility that a person skilled in the art could select, depending on the individual case, from several obvious possibilities in order to solve the problem posed without involving an inventive step.

Claim 3, 4: see D1, page 35 paragraph "Locating memory elements" - page 36

5. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 5-14, 17-20

The additional features of dependent claims 5-15 are not disclosed, in their current form, in the documents cited in the search report.